Date original: 15/09/2023 09:24:00
Date public redacted version: 15/09/2023 09:25:00

In: KSC-BC-2020-06

The Prosecutor v. Hashim Thaçi, Kadri Veseli, Rexhep Selimi,

and Jakup Krasniqi

Before: Trial Panel II

Judge Charles L. Smith III, Presiding Judge

Judge Christoph Barthe

Judge Guénaël Mettraux

Judge Fergal Gaynor, Reserve Judge

Registrar: Fidelma Donlon

Date: 15 September 2023

Language: English

Classification: Public

Public Redacted Version of Decision on Periodic Review of Detention of Jakup Krasniqi

Acting Deputy Specialist Prosecutor Counsel for Hashim Thaçi

Ward Ferdinandusse Gregory Kehoe

Counsel for Victims Counsel for Kadri Veseli

Simon Laws Ben Emmerson

Counsel for Rexhep Selimi

Geoffrey Roberts

Counsel for Jakup Krasniqi

Venkateswari Alagendra

Date original: 15/09/2023 09:24:00 Date public redacted version: 15/09/2023 09:25:00

TRIAL PANEL II of the Kosovo Specialist Chambers ("Panel"), pursuant to

Article 41(6), (10), and (12) of Law No. 05/L-053 on Specialist Chambers and

Specialist Prosecutor's Office, and Rules 56(2) and 57(2) of the Rules of Procedure

and Evidence Before the Kosovo Specialist Chambers, hereby renders this

decision.

I. PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND

1. The procedural background concerning the periodic reviews of the detention

of Jakup Krasniqi ("Mr Krasniqi") has been set out extensively in previous

decisions.1 Relevant events since the tenth review of Mr Krasniqi's detention on

17 July 2023 ("Tenth Detention Decision")² include the following.

2. On 25 August 2023, the Specialist Prosecutor's Office ("SPO") filed its

submissions on the eleventh review of Mr Krasniqi's detention ("SPO

Submissions").3

3. The Defence for Mr Krasniqi ("Krasniqi Defence") did not respond to the SPO

submissions.

II. SUBMISSIONS

4. The SPO requests the continuation of Mr Krasniqi's detention.⁴ It argues that:

(i) absent any change in circumstances since the Tenth Detention Decision,

Mr Krasniqi's detention remains necessary and reasonable; and (ii) the continued

¹ See e.g. F01110, Pre-Trial Judge, Decision on Periodic Review of Detention of Jakup Krasniqi ("Sixth Detention Decision"), 18 November 2022, confidential, paras 1-15 (a public redacted version was issued on the same day, F01110/RED).

² F01679, Panel, *Decision on Periodic Review of Detention of Jakup Krasniqi*, 17 July 2023, confidential (a public redacted version was issued on the same day, F01679/RED).

³ F01741, Specialist Prosecutor, Prosecution Submission Pertaining to Periodic Detention Review of Jakup Krasniqi, 25 August 2023

⁴ SPO Submissions, paras 1, 31.

KSC-BC-2020-06

15 September 2023

1

Date original: 15/09/2023 09:24:00 Date public redacted version: 15/09/2023 09:25:00

progression of trial, and further disclosures giving Mr Krasniqi greater access to

information regarding sensitive witnesses and the case against him buttress the

necessity and reasonableness of his detention.5

III. APPLICABLE LAW

5. The law applicable to deciding the present matter is set out in Article 41(6),

(10), and (12) and Rules 56 and 57 and has been laid out extensively in earlier

decisions. The Panel will apply these standards to the present decision.

IV. PERIODIC REVIEW OF DETENTION

6. The purpose of the bi-monthly review of detention pending trial pursuant to

Article 41(10) is to determine whether the reasons for detention still exist.7 A

change in circumstances, while not determinative, shall be taken into

consideration if raised before the relevant panel or proprio motu.8

A. ARTICLE 41 CRITERIA

i. Grounded Suspicion

7. Regarding the threshold for continued detention, Article 41(6)(a) requires a

grounded suspicion that the detained person has committed a crime within the

jurisdiction of the Specialist Chambers ("SC"). This is a condition sine qua non for

the validity of the detained person's continued detention.9

⁵ SPO Submissions, paras 1, 14.

or o outlinssions, paras 1, 14.

⁶ See e.g. Sixth Detention Decision, paras 18-21.

⁷ IA022/F00005, Court of Appeals Panel, *Decision on Hashim Thaçi's Appeal Against Decision on Periodic Review of Detention*, 22 August 2022, confidential, para. 37 (a public redacted version was issued on the

same day, IA022/F00005/RED).

⁸ IA010/F00008, Court of Appeals Panel, *Decision on Hashim Thaçi's Appeal Against Decision on Review of Detention*, 27 October 2021, confidential, para. 19 (a public redacted version was issued on the same

day, IA010/F00008/RED).

⁹ See ECtHR, Merabishvili v. Georgia [GC], no. 72508/13, <u>Judgment</u>, 28 November 2017, para. 222.

KSC-BC-2020-06

15 September 2023

2

Date original: 15/09/2023 09:24:00 Date public redacted version: 15/09/2023 09:25:00

8. The SPO argues that – absent any change in circumstances since the decision confirming the indictment and the Tenth Detention Decision, there remains a grounded suspicion that Mr Krasniqi has committed a crime within the SC's jurisdiction.¹⁰

9. The Panel recalls that the Pre-Trial Judge determined that, pursuant to Article 39(2), there was a well-grounded suspicion that Mr Krasniqi is criminally liable for a number of crimes against humanity (persecution, imprisonment, other inhumane acts, torture, murder and enforced disappearance) and war crimes (arbitrary detention, cruel treatment, torture and murder) under Articles 13, 14(1)(c) and 16(1)(a). Moreover, the Pre-Trial Judge found that a well-grounded suspicion has also been established with regard to new charges brought by the SPO against Mr Krasniqi. These findings were made on the basis of a standard

KSC-BC-2020-06 3 15 September 2023

¹⁰ SPO Submissions, para. 7 (with further references).

¹¹ F00026, Pre-Trial Judge, *Decision on the Confirmation of the Indictment Against Hashim Thaçi, Kadri Veseli, Rexhep Selimi and Jakup Krasniqi*, 26 October 2020, strictly confidential and *ex parte*, para. 521(a)(i)-(ii). A confidential redacted version was filed on 19 November 2020, F00026/CONF/RED. A public redacted version was filed on 30 November 2020, F00026/RED. The Specialist Prosecutor submitted the confirmed indictment in F00034, Specialist Prosecutor, *Submission of Confirmed Indictment and Related Requests*, 30 October 2020, confidential, with Annex 1, strictly confidential and *ex parte*, and Annexes 2-3, confidential; F00045/A03, Specialist Prosecutor, *Further Redacted Indictment*, 4 November 2020; F00134, Specialist Prosecutor, *Lesser Redacted Version of Redacted Indictment*, *KSC-BC-2020-06/F00045/A02*, 4 *November 2020*, 11 December 2020, confidential. A further corrected confirmed indictment was submitted on 3 September 2021, strictly confidential and *ex parte* (F00455/A01), with confidential redacted (F00455/CONF/RED/A01) and public redacted (F00455/RED/A01) versions. On 17 January 2022, the Specialist Prosecutor submitted a confidential, corrected, and lesser redacted version of the confirmed indictment, F00647/A01.

¹²F00777, Pre-Trial Judge, *Decision on the Confirmation of Amendments to the Indictment*, 22 April 2022, strictly confidential and *ex parte*, para. 183. A confidential redacted version (F00777/CONF/RED), a public redacted version (F00777/RED) and a confidential lesser redacted version (F00777/CONF/RED2) were filed, respectively, on 22 April 2022, 6 May 2022 and 16 May 2022. The requested amendments are detailed at para. 11. A confirmed amended indictment was then filed by the SPO on 29 April 2022, strictly confidential and *ex parte* (F00789/A01), with confidential redacted (F00789/A02) and public redacted (F00789/A05) versions. On 30 September 2022, the SPO submitted a confirmed further amended indictment ("Confirmed Indictment"), strictly confidential and *ex parte* (F00999/A01), with confidential redacted (F00999/A02) and public redacted versions (F00999/A03), as ordered by the Pre-Trial Judge (F00895, Pre-Trial Judge, *Decision on Motion Alleging Defects in the Form of the Amended Indictment*, 22 July 2022, para. 49(e); F00993, Pre-Trial Judge, *Decision on the Prosecution Request to Amend the Indictment*, 29 September 2022, confidential, para. 24(b); a public redacted version was filed on the same day, F00993/RED).

Date original: 15/09/2023 09:24:00

Date public redacted version: 15/09/2023 09:25:00

exceeding the grounded suspicion threshold required for the purposes of Article 41(6)(a).13

10. Absent any new material circumstances affecting the above findings, the Panel finds that there continues to be a grounded suspicion that Mr Krasniqi has committed crimes within the subject-matter jurisdiction of the SC for the purposes of Article 41(6)(a) and (10).

ii. **Necessity of Detention**

11. With respect to the grounds for continued detention, Article 41(6)(b) sets out three alternative bases (risks) on which detention may be found to be necessary: (i) risk of flight; (ii) risk of obstruction of the proceedings; or (iii) risk of further commission of crimes.¹⁴ Detention shall be maintained if there are articulable grounds to believe that one or more of these risks will materialise. 15 "Articulable" in this context means specified in detail by reference to the relevant information or evidence. 16 In determining whether any of the grounds under Article 41(6)(b) allowing for a person's detention exist, the standard to be applied is less than certainty, but more than a mere possibility of a risk materialising. 17

KSC-BC-2020-06 4 15 September 2023

¹³ See e.g. IA008/F00004, Court of Appeals Panel, Decision on Kadri Veseli's Appeal Against Decision on Review of Detention, 1 October 2021, confidential, para. 21 (a public redacted version was issued on the same day, IA008/F00004/RED).

¹⁴ Cf. ECtHR, Buzadji v. the Republic of Moldova [GC], no. 23755/07, Judgment, 5 July 2016, para. 88; ECtHR, Zohlandt v. the Netherlands, no. 69491/16, <u>Judgment</u>, 9 February 2021, para. 50; ECtHR, Grubnyk v. Ukraine, no. 58444/15, Judgment, 17 September 2020, para. 115; ECtHR, Korban v. Ukraine, no. 26744/16, *Judgment*, 4 July 2019, para. 155.

¹⁵ IA004/F00005, Court of Appeals Panel, Decision on Hashim Thaçi's Appeal Against Decision on Interim Release ("First Appeals Decision on Thaci's Detention"), 30 April 2021, confidential, para. 19 (a public redacted version was issued on the same day, IA004/F00005/RED).

¹⁶ Article 19.1.31 of the Kosovo Criminal Procedure Code 2022, Law No. 08/L-032 defines "articulable" as: "the party offering the information or evidence must specify in detail the information or evidence being relied upon".

¹⁷ First Appeals Decision on Thaçi's Detention, para. 22.

PUBLIC Date original: 15/09/2023 09:24:00

Date public redacted version: 15/09/2023 09:25:00

a) Risk of Flight

12. The SPO submits that Mr Krasniqi's fuller knowledge of the scope of the case,

including the charges against him and the evidence (to be) presented in relation

to these charges, elevates his risk of flight.¹⁸

13. The Panel has examined the arguments of the SPO, in light of the present

stage of the proceedings, and as there are no new relevant factors to consider,

reaffirms its prior finding that the SPO has failed to establish its claim of a

"sufficiently real possibility" 19 that Mr Krasniqi will abscond if released. 20

14. In addition, as already determined, there is evidence that Mr Krasniqi has

cooperated with the relevant authorities at all points during his detention and

transfer.²¹

15. While the risk of flight can never be completely ruled out, the Panel finds that

Mr Krasniqi's continued detention may not be justified at this time on the ground

of the risk of flight pursuant to Article 41(6)(b)(i).

b) Risk of Obstructing the Progress of SC Proceedings

16. With reference to previous findings by various Panels, the SPO submits that

Mr Krasniqi continues to present a risk of obstructing proceedings.²² According to

the SPO, the further disclosure of highly sensitive information to the Krasniqi

Defence and Mr Krasniqi continues to amplify the risk of sensitive information

¹⁸ SPO Submissions, para. 9.

¹⁹ See e.g. First Appeals Decision on Thaçi's Detention, para. 24.

²⁰ See Tenth Detention Decision, para. 18.

²¹ F01212, Panel, *Decision on Periodic Review of Detention of Jakup Krasniqi* ("Seventh Detention Decision"), 17 January 2023, confidential, para. 18 and fn 30 (a public redacted version was issued on the same day,

F01212/RED).

²² SPO Submissions, paras 10-16 (*citing* Tenth Detention Decision, para. 28).

KSC-BC-2020-06 5

15 September 2023

Date original: 15/09/2023 09:24:00 Date public redacted version: 15/09/2023 09:25:00

pertaining to witnesses becoming known to members of the public before the

witnesses in question testify.²³

17. Furthermore, the SPO avers that there continues to be a climate of witness

intimidation and interference, which, as held by the Court of Appeals, is a relevant

contextual consideration.²⁴ The SPO argues that these circumstances have recently

been further substantiated by the arrest of Dritan Goxhaj on 31 July 2023, pursuant

to an arrest warrant issued by a SC Judge, in relation to offences against the

administration of justice involving intimidation and obstructing official persons

in performing official duties.²⁵

18. The Panel calls attention to the standard utilised in assessing the risks under

Article 41(6)(b), which does not require a "concrete example" of a situation in

which Mr Krasniqi has personally intimidated or harassed a witness.²⁶

19. The Panel has already determined and reiterates that there is a risk of

Mr Krasniqi obstructing SC proceedings based on, inter alia: (i) his position of

influence, which, combined with the willingness and ability to obtain access to

confidential information inaccessible to the public, allows for the reasonable

conclusion that it is possible for Mr Krasniqi to secure access to confidential

information related to matters to which he is currently connected; (ii) his public

statements criticising the SC; and (iii) the content of a 24 April 2020 Facebook post

targeting "collaborators".²⁷ Furthermore, the Court of Appeals has confirmed that:

(i) there are indications that Mr Krasniqi is, at least, predisposed to witness

KSC-BC-2020-06 6 15 September 2023

²³ SPO Submissions, paras 14-16.

²⁴ SPO Submissions, para. 13 (with further references).

²⁵ SPO Submissions, para. 13.

²⁶ See Seventh Detention Decision, para. 23, referring to IA003/F00005, Court of Appeals Panel, Decision on Rexhep Selimi's Appeal Against Decision on Interim Release ("First Appeals Decision on Selimi's Detention"), 30 April 2021, confidential, para. 59 (a public redacted version was issued on the same day, IA003/F00005/RED).

²⁷ See e.g. F00801, Pre-Trial Judge, Decision on Periodic Review of Detention of Jakup Krasniqi ("Fourth Detention Decision"), 13 May 2022, confidential and ex parte, para. 48 (a confidential redacted version, F00801/CONF/RED, and a public redacted version, F00801/RED, were issued on 13 and 24 May 2022, respectively).

Date original: 15/09/2023 09:24:00 Date public redacted version: 15/09/2023 09:25:00

intimidation,[REDACTED];²⁸ and (ii) in assessing whether there is a risk that

Mr Krasniqi will obstruct the proceedings if released, it was not unreasonable to

take into account, among other factors, Mr Krasniqi's public statements criticising

the SC or the Facebook post of 24 April 2020.²⁹

20. As previously noted, in light of the ongoing nature of trial, the names and

personal details of certain highly sensitive witnesses have been and will continue

to be disclosed to the Krasniqi Defence,30 and will therefore become known to a

broader range of people, including to Mr Krasniqi. The Panel maintains its view

that this, in turn, increases the risk of sensitive information pertaining to witnesses

becoming known to members of the public before the witnesses in question give

evidence. In this context, the release of an accused with sensitive information in

his possession would not be conducive to the effective protection of witnesses who

are yet to testify.31

21. Accordingly, the Panel concludes that the risk that Mr Krasniqi will obstruct

the progress of SC proceedings if released continues to exist.

c) Risk of Committing Further Crimes

22. With reference to the Panel's findings in the Tenth Detention Decision, the

SPO submits that Mr Krasniqi continues to present a risk of committing further

²⁸ IA002/F00005, Court of Appeals Panel, *Decision on Jakup Krasniqi's Appeal Against Decision on Interim Release* ("First Appeals Decision on Krasniqi's Detention"), 30 April 2021, confidential, para. 62 (a public redacted version was issued on the same day, IA002/F0005/RED); IA006/F00005, Court of Appeals Panel, *Decision on Jakup Krasniqi's Appeal Against Decision on Review of Detention* ("Second Appeals Decision on Krasniqi's Detention"), 1 October 2021, confidential, para. 30 (a public redacted

version was issued on the same day, IA006/F00005/RED).

²⁹ First Appeals Decision on Krasniqi's Detention, para. 50.

³⁰ See Tenth Detention Decision, para. 26; F01530, Trial Panel, Decision on Periodic Review of Detention of Jakup Krasniqi ("Ninth Detention Decision"), 17 May 2023, confidential (a public redacted version was issued on 22 May 2023, F01530/RED), para. 22; F01382, Panel, Decision on Periodic Review of Detention of Jakup Krasniqi ("Eighth Detention Decision"), 17 March 2023, para. 25 (a public redacted version was issued on 20 March 2023, F01382/RED).

³¹ *See* Tenth Detention Decision, para. 26; Ninth Detention Decision, para. 22; Eighth Detention Decision, para. 25; Seventh Detention Decision, para. 25.

KSC-BC-2020-06 7 15 September 2023

Date original: 15/09/2023 09:24:00 Date public redacted version: 15/09/2023 09:25:00

crimes.³² According to the SPO, the Panel's conclusion that the continuing

disclosure of sensitive information presented an unacceptable risk for the

commission of further crimes has taken on additional significance in light of the

continuing progress of the trial.³³ Furthermore, the SPO argues that the following

needs to be taken into account: (i) the general climate of witness intimidation; and

(ii) the extremely serious nature of the charges against Mr Krasniqi.34

23. The Panel recalls its finding in the Tenth Detention Decision that the risk of

Mr Krasniqi committing further crimes continues to exist.³⁵ The Panel finds that

the same factors that were taken into account in relation to the risk of obstruction

are relevant to the analysis of the risk of Mr Krasniqi committing further crimes.³⁶

In light of those, the Panel considers that no new circumstances have arisen since

the last detention review that would justify a different finding in respect of this

matter.

24. The Panel highlights the fact that the trial is ongoing, that the identities of

sensitive witnesses continue to be disclosed to the Krasniqi Defence, and that any

risk of further commission of crimes must be avoided.

25. The Panel considers that, taking all factors together, there continues to be a

risk that Mr Krasniqi will commit further crimes as set out in Article 41(6)(b)(iii).

iii. Conclusion

26. The Panel concludes that at this time there continues to be insufficient

information before it justifying a finding that Mr Krasniqi may abscond from

justice. However, the Panel is satisfied, based on the relevant standard, that there

is a risk that Mr Krasniqi will obstruct the progress of SC proceedings and a risk

³² SPO Submissions, paras. 17-21 (citing Tenth Detention Decision, para. 32).

³³ SPO Submissions, para. 20.

³⁴ SPO Submissions, paras 18-19.

³⁵ Tenth Detention Decision, para. 32.

³⁶ Tenth Detention Decision, para. 32.

KSC-BC-2020-06 8 15 September 2023

PUBLIC Date original: 15/09/2023 09:24:00

Date public redacted version: 15/09/2023 09:25:00

that he will commit further crimes against those perceived as being opposed to the

Kosovo Liberation Army, including witnesses who have provided or could

provide evidence in the case and/or are due to appear before the SC. The Panel

will assess below whether these risks can be adequately addressed by any

conditions for his release.

B. Measures Alternative to Detention

27. The SPO submits, with reference to the Panel's previous findings, that: (i) the

risks pursuant to Article 41(6)(b) can only be effectively managed at the

SC Detention Facilities; (ii) nothing has occurred since the Tenth Detention

Decision warranting a different assessment on conditions, either generally or for

a discrete period of time; and (iii) rather, the continuation of trial and attendant

further disclosure make the underlying risks higher than ever.³⁷

28. When deciding on whether a person should be released or detained, the Panel

must consider alternative measures to prevent the risks in Article 41(6)(b).³⁸

Article 41(12) sets out a number of options to be considered in order to ensure the

accused's presence at trial, to prevent reoffending or to ensure successful conduct

of proceedings. In this respect, the Panel recalls that detention should only be

continued if there are no alternative, more lenient measures reasonably available

that could sufficiently mitigate the risks set out in Article 41(6)(b).39 The Panel

³⁷ SPO Submissions, paras 22-26 (referring to Tenth Detention Decision, paras 48-49).

³⁸ As regards the obligation to consider "alternative measures", see KSC-CC-PR-2017-01, F00004, Specialist Chamber of the Constitutional Court, Judgment on the Referral of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence Adopted by Plenary on 17 March 2017 to the Specialist Chamber of the Constitutional Court Pursuant to Article 19(5) of Law No. 05/L-053 on Specialist Chambers and Specialist Prosecutor's Office ("SCCC 26 April 2017 Judgment"), 26 April 2017, para. 114. See also ECtHR, Buzadji v. the Republic of Moldova, para. 87 in fine; ECtHR, Idalov v. Russia [GC], no. 5826/03, Judgment, 22 May 2012, para. 140 in fine.

³⁹ SCCC 26 April 2017 Judgment, para. 114; KSC-CC-PR-2020-09, F00006, Specialist Chamber of the Constitutional Court, *Judgment on the Referral of Amendments to the Rules of Procedure and Evidence Adopted by the Plenary on 29 and 30 April 2020, 22 May 2020, para. 70. See also ECtHR, <u>Idalov v. Russia [GC]</u>,*

para. 140 in fine.

must therefore consider all reasonable alternative measures that could be imposed and not only those raised by the Krasniqi Defence or the SPO.⁴⁰

- 29. As regards the risks of obstructing the progress of SC proceedings and committing further crimes, the Panel has repeatedly held that:
 - a) None of the conditions previously proposed by the Krasniqi Defence, nor any additional measures foreseen in Article 41(12), ordered *proprio motu*, could at this stage in the proceedings sufficiently mitigate the existing risks with respect to Mr Krasniqi;⁴¹
 - b) The measures in place at the SC Detention Facilities, viewed as a whole:
 (i) provide robust assurances against unmonitored visits and communications with family members and pre-approved visitors with a view to minimising the risks of obstruction and commission of further crimes; and (ii) offer a controlled environment where a potential breach of confidentiality could be more easily identified and/or prevented;⁴² and
 - c) That it is only through the communication monitoring framework applicable at the SC Detention Facilities that Mr Krasniqi's communications can be restricted in a manner that would sufficiently mitigate the risks of obstruction and commission of further crimes.⁴³
- 30. The Panel maintains its view that no additional measures foreseen in Article 41(12), ordered *proprio motu*, could at this stage in the proceedings

⁴⁰ First Appeals Decision on Selimi's Detention, para. 86; KSC-BC-2020-05, F00127, Trial Panel I, Fourth Decision on Review of Detention, 25 May 2021, para. 24.

⁴¹ See Tenth Detention Decision, para. 41; Ninth Detention Decision, para. 33; Eighth Detention Decision, para. 37; Seventh Detention Decision, para. 38. See also IA020/F00005, Court of Appeals Panel, Decision on Jakup Krasniqi's Appeal Against Decision on Periodic Review of Detention ("Fourth Appeals Decision on Krasniqi's Detention"), 2 August 2022, confidential, para. 39 (a public redacted version was issued on the same day, IA020/F00005/RED).

⁴² See Tenth Detention Decision, para. 41; Ninth Detention Decision, para. 33; Eighth Detention Decision, para. 37; Seventh Detention Decision, para. 38. See also IA016/F00005, Court of Appeals Panel, Decision on Jakup Krasniqi's Appeal Against Decision on Remanded Detention Review and Periodic Review of Detention ("Third Appeals Decision on Krasniqi's Detention"), 25 March 2022, confidential, para. 30 (a public redacted version was issued on the same day, IA016/F00005/RED).

⁴³ *See* Tenth Detention Decision, para. 41; Ninth Detention Decision, para. 34; Eighth Detention Decision, para. 38; Seventh Detention Decision, para. 39.

Date original: 15/09/2023 09:24:00 Date public redacted version: 15/09/2023 09:25:00

sufficiently, and to a degree comparable to that of detention at the SC Detention

Facilities, mitigate the existing risks with respect to Mr Krasniqi.

31. In light of the foregoing, the Panel remains persuaded of the conclusions

previously reached, as summarised in paragraph 29 above, and finds that the risks

of obstructing the proceedings and committing further offences can only be

effectively managed at this stage of the proceedings if Mr Krasniqi remains at the

SC Detention Facilities. In these circumstances, the Panel finds that there are no

alternatives to Mr Krasniqi's continued detention capable of adequately averting

the risks in Article 41(6)(b)(ii) and (iii), either generally or for a discrete period of

time.

C. REASONABLENESS OF DETENTION

32. The SPO argues that, taking all factors into consideration, Mr Krasniqi's

detention remains proportional.44 To that end, the SPO refers to the Panel's

previous findings that: (i) Mr Krasniqi is charged with ten counts of serious

international crimes in which he is alleged to play a significant role; (ii) if

convicted, he could face a lengthy sentence; (iii) the continuing risks under

Article 41(6)(b)(ii) and (iii) cannot be sufficiently mitigated by the application of

reasonable alternative measures; (iv) the case against Mr Krasniqi is complex; (v) a

climate of witness intimidation exists as outlined above; and (vi) progress

continues to be made in the case.⁴⁵

33. The Panel recalls that the reasonableness of an accused's continued detention

must be assessed on the facts of each case and according to its special features at

the time when such assessment is being made.46 In the Panel's estimation, the

special features in this case include the following: (i) Mr Krasniqi is charged with

⁴⁴ SPO Submissions, paras 27-30.

⁴⁵ SPO Submissions, para. 28.

⁴⁶ Tenth Detention Decision, para. 53; Ninth Detention Decision, para. 37; Eighth Detention Decision,

para. 42; Seventh Detention Decision, para. 43.

KSC-BC-2020-06

15 September 2023

11

Date original: 15/09/2023 09:24:00 Date public redacted version: 15/09/2023 09:25:00

ten counts of serious international crimes in which he is alleged to play a significant

role;⁴⁷ (ii) if convicted, Mr Krasniqi could face a lengthy sentence; (iii) the continuing

risks under Article 41(6)(b)(ii) and (iii) cannot be sufficiently mitigated by the

application of reasonable alternative measures;⁴⁸ (iv) the case against Mr Krasniqi is

complex;49 (v) the climate of witness intimidation outlined above; and (vi) the fact that

the trial is ongoing.

34. In light of these factors, the Panel finds that Mr Krasniqi's detention for a

further two months is necessary and reasonable in the specific circumstances of

the case.

35. The Panel notes, however, that Mr Krasniqi has already been in detention for

a significant period of time, and that the trial in this case is likely to be lengthy. As

the Panel previously indicated,⁵⁰ this will require the Panel as well as all Parties to

be particularly mindful of the need to ensure that the trial proceeds as

expeditiously as possible. The Panel will continue to monitor at every stage in

these proceedings whether continued detention is necessary and reasonable.

V. DISPOSITION

36. For the above-mentioned reasons, the Panel hereby:

a) ORDERS Mr Krasniqi's continued detention; and

b) **ORDERS** the SPO to file submissions on the next review of Mr Krasniqi's

detention by no later than Wednesday, 25 October 2023 (at 16:00 hours),

⁴⁷ F00999/A01, *Annex 1 to Submission of Confirmed Amended Indictment*, 30 September 2022, confidential, paras 10-12, 32, 39-40, 44, 49, 53, 55-57, 176-177 (a public lesser redacted version was filed on 27 February 2023, F01323/A01).

KSC-BC-2020-06 12 15 September 2023

⁴⁸ See above, paras 27-31.

⁴⁹ *See e.g.* Sixth Detention Decision, para. 59; Fifth Detention Decision, para. 58; Fourth Detention Decision, para. 81.

⁵⁰ *See e.g.* Tenth Detention Decision, para. 56; Ninth Detention Decision, para. 39; Eighth Detention Decision, para. 44; Seventh Detention Decision, para. 46.

Date original: 15/09/2023 09:24:00 Date public redacted version: 15/09/2023 09:25:00

with subsequent written submissions following the timelines set out in Rule 76.

Charles L. Smith, III

Charles I Smith IL

Presiding Judge

Dated this Friday, 15 September 2023 At The Hague, the Netherlands.